



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIT	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,047	04/30/2001		Ronald L. Beale	133/28	2696
7:	590	08/28/2003			
Averill & Varn			EXAMINER		
8244 Painter Ave. Whittier, CA 90602				BREVARD, MAERENA W	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3727	
				DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	09/844,047	BEALE, RONALD L.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Maerena W. Brevard	3727				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	<u> </u>				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	February 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Ti	his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			S			
·	ion of Claims	ag in the application					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-4,9-12,14,15, and 17</u> is/are pendir						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	iwii iroin consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-4,9-12,14,15 and 17</u> is/are rejected	J.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
=	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/oion Papers	or election requirement.					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		lisapproved by the Examiner.				
🗖	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •					
, —	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xamıner.					
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	In priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in A	Application No				
* (3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).			
	a) The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	, ,					
Attachmen							
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and 1	Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/844,047

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming to
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 9-12, 14, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Regarding claims 1 and 9, the word "means" is preceded by the words "pump" and "valve" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the words preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

The dependent claims not specifically mentioned are rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, since they inherently contain the same deficiencies therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 9-12, 14, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaeger in view of Bertholon.

Jaeger discloses a back support apparatus (Figure 3) for use with a backpack, the apparatus comprises a pair of elongated inflatable bladders (18, both outer bladders) spaced generally parallel to each other, separated by a gap space (which includes the middle bladder),

Application/Control Number: 09/844,047

Art Unit: 3727

and connected to a front panel of the backpack and valve means (19) for deflating the bladders, but does not teach a pump means for inflating the bladders. However, Bertholon teaches a pump means (6) for inflating the bladder of inflatable shoulder straps. It would have been obvious to use the pump of Bertholon with the inflatable structure of Jaeger to inflate the bladders. Doing so would provide an attachment that would provide an easier and quicker means of inflating the bladders.

Regarding the bladders each having an upper terminus extending upwardly at least as high as the upper attachment points, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to increase the size of the bladders to have the upper terminus extend at least as high as the upper attachment points, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding claims 2-4 and 10-12, the two outer bladders are spaced at most two inches from each other and inflated to a diameter no more than two inches, to exert a support force against the user's spinal column from opposite sides thereof, to the same degree claimed.

Regarding claims 14 and 15, each bladder has one inflation chamber communicably connected to the inflation chamber of the other by at least a bridge conduit (the bottom portion of 18), wherein the conduit has an inflated diameter less than an inflated diameter of each bladder, to the degree claimed.

Regarding claim 17, the alternate embodiment (Figures 6 and 7) teaches the means for vertically connecting the pair of bladders to the front panel including a second panel (47)

Art Unit: 3727

connected (48) to the front panel, rather than the hook and loop means of the embodiment in Figure 3.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed February 13, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The amendments to the claims do not add any allowable subject matter and the claims are still rejected over the art as cited above.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maerena W. Brevard whose telephone number is 703/305-0037. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 8:00 AM-6:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,047

Art Unit: 3727

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703/308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/872-9302 for regular communications and 703/872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/305-0037.

Maerena Brevard August 27, 2003

GREGORY VIDOVICH
SUPERVISORY PATERY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700